Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/044,158	JENSEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Patricia Leith	1654
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Patricia Leith</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Atty. Michael Krieger</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 April 2004	Time: approx 3:30 EST	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: all pending		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
atured Seith		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Atty. Krieger agreed to the cancellation of claims 1-9, 12, 15 and 17. Mr. Krieger further agreed to new claims 18, 19 and 20 to replace claims 9, 15 and 17 as well as amendments to claims 10-11 and 13-16 in order to place these claims in condition for allowance. Further discussed the new matter present in Figures 3 and 4. Mr. Krieger agreed to the non-entry of Figures 3 and 4 into the Specification, as well as amendments to the Specification omitting any referenc(es) to Figures 3 and 4.